

By Speed Post

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

61/35, Copernicus Marg,  
New Delhi-110001

Dated 13.10.2016

File No. PB/RTI/84/2016-17/JA

Appeal No. 09/2016

Name of the Applicant : Sh. T. Surya Prakash.

Public Authority : Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench, New Delhi

Date of decision : 13.10.2016

Facts:

This appeal has been filed against order dated 09.09.2016 passed by the CPIO against the application dated 30.08.2016 from the applicant/appellant in the present appeal.

I have called for the judicial records of OA 2948/2015 as also the earlier application dated 04.08.2016 filed by the appellant and his first appeal dated 24.08.2016 filed therein. The application dated 04.08.2016 had duly been replied by the CPIO vide his letter dated 19.08.2016. The requisite information had also been supplied. Thereafter, the applicant/appellant herein filed the first appeal against the



order dated 19.08.2016 of the CPIO, the said first appeal was also disposed of vide order dated 09.09.2016.

Despite the fact that applicant/appellant herein had been given the information asked for, he once again filed another application dated 30.08.2016 stating therein that he had received the order dated 12.05.2016 passed in the OA 2948/2016 through Registered Post on 27.05.2016. The said application of the applicant/appellant had also been disposed of by the CPIO vide his order dated 09.09.2016. Once again the information asked for by the applicant/appellant had been supplied. The applicant/appellant, thereafter filed this appeal stating that the information supplied to him by the CPIO is confusing, not serving the purpose and not satisfactory. He has further written in his first appeal dated 19.09.2016 that either the date of receipt is purposefully mentioned wrongly as 20.07.2016, or there is a connivance, which may have led to the two months delay in delivery of judgment to Sh. S. Rajappa, Standing Counsel for the respondents. He has further written to make an enquiry into the matter and intimate the exact date of delivery of the said judgment to the respondents' counsel.

The information asked by the applicant/appellant has twice been supplied to him. Further, it is stated that the queries raised by the appellant do not fall under the preview of RTI Act, 2005. Before parting with this case, it is reiterated, at the cost of repetition, that the order dated 12.05.2016 in OA No. 2948/2015 titled T. Surya Prakash Vs. Navodaya Vidyalaya Sangathan had been pronounced on 12.05.2016. It was uploaded on the website of Central Administrative Tribunal on or before 17.05.2016. The Section prepared forwarding letter dated 18.05.2016 whereby order dated 12.05.2016 was to be dispatched to the parties concerned. There is one dispatch slip bearing No. 3005-/J dated 20.05.2016 on record. As per report of the Registry the letter /



order could be delivered on 20.07.2016, when respondent came to collect the same.

This appeal is thus disposed of.

In case the appellant is not satisfied with this decision, he may appeal before the Second Appellate Authority i.e. Central Information Commission, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, B Wing, August Kranti Bhavan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi - 110066.



(Gurmit Singh)  
First Appellate Authority

(i) Sh. T. Surya Prakash  
R/o NVS, B-15, Sector -62  
Noida - 201309 (UP).

(ii) Website of Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench.