

F. No. PB/RTI/155/2017-18-JA
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH

61/35, Copernicus Marg,
New Delhi
Dated 04.12.2017

Appeal No. 54/2017

Sh. Puwar Dhairyapal-Sinh ... Appellant
CPIO, Central Administrative Tribunal ... Respondent

Order

This First Appeal dated 29.11.2017 has been filed against the reply dated 27.11.2017 of the CPIO. I have gone through the RTI application and the reply thereto. On perusal of the RTI application and the Appeal in hand, it is seen that the Appellant has sought information putting forth certain presumptions that are beyond the scope of RTI Act-2005. Both the application and the Appeal agitate service matter pertaining to the appellant/applicant which is barred by Section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The CPIO is not supposed to create/interpret the information to solve the problems of the information seeker and to answer the hypothetical questions put by him.

As regard the contentions of the Appellant regarding opinions/advices, it is hereby clarified that opinions and advices comes under Section 2 (f) where the same exist in written form in soft/hard copy and are in the custody of Public Authority. It is not so in the present case. Therefore, I am in full agreement with the reply dated 27.11.2017, by the CPIO.

This appeal is, thus, disposed of.

In case the appellant is not satisfied with this order he may file second appeal before the Second Appellate Authority, i.e, Central Information Commission, 2nd floor, B-wing, August Kranti Bhavan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi, Delhi 110066.



(Gurmit Singh)
Registrar/ First Appellate Authority

To

Sh. Puwar Dhairyapal Singh Narpatsinh
No: IE, D-Plus Tower Block,
Bhavini Township,
Anupuram, Tamilnadu- 603127

Copy to:

S.O (Computer to upload the order on the official web-site of this office.