

Speed Post

F. No. PB/RTI/213/2017-18
CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH

61/35, Copernicus Marg,
New Delhi

Dated 20.02.2018

Appeal No.57/2017-18

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta

...

Appellant

CPIO, Central Administrative Tribunal

...

Respondent

Facts:

This First Appeal dated 23.01.2018 has been filed against the reply dated 09.01.2018 of the CPIO (J). The Appellant had also requested for personal hearing. Accordingly, the Appellant Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta along with his wife and CPIO (J) appeared before me on 20.02.2018 in the afternoon. The CPIO had also been asked to be present.

The Appeal is disposed off in the following manner:-

1. The Appellant in Point No. 3.2 has pointed that CPIO has wrongfully mentioned his date of application as 27.12.2017 whereas it was 26.12.2017. The CPIO told that it was a typographical error and has no relationship with the information.

Point wise reply :-

1. In reply to Query No. 1, the CPIO has furnished the Diary No. and date of disposal of the O.A. which the Appellant has mentioned O.A. No. 1770/2010. It is understood that the information furnished by the CPIO relates to the same O.A.
2. Regarding Query No. 2, the CPIO stated that the M.A. No. 895/2013 in O.A. No. 4192/2012 was mentioned in the application. Hence, it was understood and was not required to be re-written.

3 and 4. In so far as Points No. 3 and 4 of the application are concerned, I do not find any infirmity in the reply given by CPIO. CPIO is not supposed to assign reasons or to tender advice. He is supposed to give the information which is available with him, on record.

The CPIO further pointed out that the Appellant was having the complete and correct information which he has enclosed with his Appeal. Therefore, the question of furnishing the information again is vague. I am in agreement with the stand of the CPIO.

After hearing the Appellant in person, the CPIO and going through the records of the case, it is noticed that by preferring the First Appeal, the Appellant has tried to seek further information in the nature of clarification and beyond the scope of his application dated 25.11.2017.

This Appeal is thus disposed off.

In case you are not satisfied with this decision, you may file second appeal before the Second Appellate Authority, i.e, Central Information Commission, 2nd floor, B-wing, August Kranti Bhavan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi, Delhi 110066.



(Gurmit Singh)

Registrar/ First Appellate Authority